

## Appendix A Project Scoring Matrix

| <b>Project name:</b>   | <i>Project A</i> | <i>Project B</i> | <i>Project C</i> | <i>Project D</i> | <i>etc.</i> |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| <b>15 Selection criteria (numerically scored low to high, 0-5 unless indicated):</b>                                       |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Fisheries</i> - subwatershed supports population(s) of wild anadromous salmonid species (3 pts.)                        |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Fisheries</i> - potential to address limiting factors identified in the subwatershed (10 pts. max, 1 point each)        |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Fisheries</i> - project addresses a risk posed to coho population   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Fisheries protection</i> - project protects best remaining salmonid habitat and/or occupied habitat                     |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Human impacts</i> - future adjacent landuse consistent with restoration goals (3 pts.)                                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Priority</i> - project based on an assessment/study prioritizing restoration needs                                      |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Watershed</i> - project contiguous with diverse, high quality habitat   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Watershed</i> - project to occur in a biologically important subwatershed as defined by TBWC                            |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Watershed</i> - project to restore an impaired watershed process  |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Water quality</i> - project mitigates water quality and/or sediment associated issues adjacent to high quality habitats |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Design</i> - addresses causes not symptoms  |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Design</i> - sound, effective and appropriate   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Monitoring</i> - project includes monitoring and maintenance plan   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Permitting</i> - permits complete or not (3 pts.)   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <i>Funding</i> - level of matching funds/in-kind contribution (3 pts.)   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <b>TOTAL SCORE PER SITE (72 pts. possible)</b>   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <b>ESTIMATED PROJECT COST (\$ in thousands)</b>  |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |
| <b>PRIORITY RANKING (See Decision Making Tree)</b>   |                  |                  |                  |                  |             |

Management Actions;" the Point Reyes National Seashore's "Natural Resource Project Ranking Criteria;" and the Marin Resource Conservation District's scoring matrix for projects on private lands.